	Case 2:09-mj-03084-LOA	Document 3 Filed 03/24/09	Page RECEIVED LODGED	
WO	UNITED STAT	ES DISTRICT COU		
	DISTRI	CT OF ARIZONA	OLEDKILE DISTRICT COURT	
1U	NITED STATES OF AMERICA		CLERK U S DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF ARIZONA BY DEPUTY	
	V.	ORDER OF DET	ENTION PENDING TRIAL	
Antonio Rafael Rios-Rojas		Case Number:0		
and was renr	se with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142 resented by counsel. I conclude by a prepon- the defendant pending trial in this case.	(f), a detention hearing was held on derance of the evidence the defenda	3/24/09 . Defendant was present ant is a serious flight risk and order the	
	FI	NDINGS OF FACT		
I find by a pr	eponderance of the evidence that:			
	The defendant is not a citizen of the United States or lawfully admitted for permanent residence.			
\boxtimes	The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally.			
\boxtimes	The defendant has previously been deported or otherwise removed.			
	The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.			
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.			
	The defendant has a prior criminal history.			
	The defendant lives/works in Mexico.			
	substantial family ties to Mexico.			
	There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered.			
	The defendant attempted to evade law	The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.		
	The defendant is facing a maximum of	years impr	risonment.	
The	e Court incorporates by reference the materi of the hearing in this matter, except as note	al findings of the Pretrial Services Aç d in the record.	gency which were reviewed by the Court	
		NCLUSIONS OF LAW		
1. 2.	There is a serious risk that the defend No condition or combination of condition	ant will flee. ons will reasonably assure the appe	arance of the defendant as required.	
		NS REGARDING DETENTION		
a correction appeal. Th	e defendant is committed to the custody of t ns facility separate, to the extent practicable, ne defendant shall be afforded a reasonable ed States or on request of an attorney for the to the United States Marshal for the purpos	poportunity for private consultation w	rith defense counsel. On order of a court of the corrections facility shall deliver the	
	APPEALS	AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE		
deliver a co Court. Pur service of	IS ORDERED that should an appeal of this opy of the motion for review/reconsideration rsuant to Rule 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P., effec a copy of this order or after the oral order is urt. Failure to timely file objections in accord	tive December 1, 2005, Defendant stated on the record within which the lance with Rule 59(a) will waive the	shall have ten (10) days from the date of of the specific written objections with the right to review. 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P.	
IT Sonvices s	IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to sufficiently in advance of the hearing before the potential third party custodian.	a third party is to be considered, it is the District Court to allow Pretrial	s counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and	

DATE: 3-24-09

Lawrence O. Anderson United States Magistrate Judge